MARKET REACTIONS TO THE ASSESSMENT OF OTHER SYSTEMICALLY IMPORTANT INSTITUTIONS

Alin ANDRIEŞ, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iași, Romania Simona NISTOR, Babes-Bolyai University of Cluj Napoca, Romania Nicu SPRINCEAN, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iași, Romania

> THE 12th YOUNG ECONOMISTS' SEMINAR THE 23rd DUBROVNIK ECONOMIC CONFERENCE

4 June 2017

Summary of the paper

- 2
- How do financial markets react to the disclosure of the list of Other Systemically Important Institutions (O-SIIs) by the European Banking Authority?
- Using an event study we document that the immediate reaction of the stock market is negative.
 - However within a few days investors change their perception of stigma, resulting in an increase in shareholders' wealth.
 - CDS spreads react similarly, increasing first before decreasing.
- CARs are not only driven by the event *per se*, but are determined by other relevant factors such as **distance to default, turnover, and credit risk ratio**.

Preamble & Motivation (I)

- On April 25th, 2016, EBA disclosed the first official list of O-SIIs → financial institutions that are systemically important at a national level, but are not included in the list of 29 global systemically important banks (G-SIBs).
- The objective is to identify institutions within the European Union with a significant contribution to systemic risk at the national level.

Preamble & Motivation (II)

- □ We investigate how the publication of the O-SIIs list impacted banks' stock returns and CDS spreads →
 - a stigma effect, i.e., the included financial institutions are perceived to be riskier,
 - no effect (or an opacity effect), i.e., the event does not bring any new information to the market, or
 - a safety effect due to the fact that the institutions must maintain a capital buffer and are henceforth subject to a tighter supervision.

Preamble & Motivation (III)

- Trade-off between *opacity* and *stigma effect* (Gorton and Ordoñez, 2016).
- Multiple studies have been conducted for assessing the market reaction to SIFIs / TBTF designation but there are no studies relating to publication of the O-SIIs (D-SIBs) list.
- □ Positive / negative reaction of market participants → creating / destroying wealth for the shareholders.

Main research question

How did the publication of the O-SIIs list influence the banks' stock returns and CDS spreads?

Literature review

- 7
- □ Market reaction to regulatory changes: **inconclusive results**.
 - Petrella and Resti (2013) found no market reaction to EBA stress test in 2011, concluding that banks are opaque.
 - □ Schafer et al. (2013) → regulatory announcements have led to a decrease in banks' stock prices and an increase in CDS spread of banks from Europe and the USA.
 - Sahin and de Haan(2016) → suggest that banks' stock market prices and CDS spreads generally showed no reaction to the publication of the ECB's Comprehensive Assessment of banks in the euro area.
 - Moenninghoff et al.'s (2015) results show positive AR following the designation of the G-SIBs.

Methodology (I)

- Event study methodology (as in Schwert ,1981; MacKinlay 1997; Lamdin, 2001).
 - Estimation window: 250 trading days prior to the each event day.
 - Event window: 11 trading days (5 pre-event trading days, the event day, 5 post-event trading days).

Methodology (II)

9

Method for computing the expected returns \rightarrow market model:

$$R_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_i R_{mt} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

□ Abnormal returns (AR):

$$AR_{it} = R_{it} - \alpha_i - \beta_i R_{mt}$$

- For assessing statistical significance of AR, 3 tests will be employed:
 - 1 parametric tests: t-test, and
 - 2 non-parametric tests: generalized sign test (Cowan, 1992) and Corrado and Zivney rank test (Corrado and Zivney, 1992; Cowan, 1992).
 - Other 2 parametric tests, i.e., Patell's (1976) and Boehmer et al.'s (1991) tests will be used for robustness checks.

Methodology (III)

10

- Even though the abnormal returns are mainly influenced by the event *per se*, it is of interest to study other relevant factors that may have a significant influence over the abnormal performance of the financial institutions.
- For this purpose, we run a cross-sectional regression model for the O-SIIs sample using the OLS method similar with MacKinlay (1997) using the cumulative abnormal return (CAR) as dependent variable.

 CAR_{ij} [t1; t2] = $\alpha + \beta_1 \times DTD_{ij} + \beta_2 \times Bank$ Characteristics_{ij} + $\beta_3 \times Country$ Controls_j + ε_{ij}

Data (I)

- Sample: 64 banks' stock prices and 41 CDS spreads for banks included on the O-SIIs list, published by the EBA (Thomson Reuters Datastream)
- **Frequency:** daily.
- Evens dates: (1) official date when EBA disclosed the O-SIIs list, and (2) national dates when the central banks submitted the lists to the EBA
- Market portfolio(s): MSCI World Index for official date, and national broad indices (blue-chips) for national dates. For the CDS spread: DS Europe Banks 5Y CDS Index.
- Robustness checks using different indices (e.g., STOXX Europe 600 Banks, CDS Total Return Index).

Data (II)

	* *		
	Variable name	Description	Source
12	Market variables		
	Stock Return	Log return of banks' stock prices	Datastream
	AR	Abnormal returns of banks' stock prices or CDS spreads	Own computation
	AAR	Average abnormal returns of banks' stock prices or CDS spreads	Own computation
	Stock CAR	Cumulative abnormal returns of banks' stock prices over the event window	Own computation
	Stock CAAR	Cumulative average abnormal return of banks' stock prices over the event window	Own computation
	CDS Return	Log return of banks' CDS spreads	Datastream
	CDS CAR	Cumulative abnormal returns of banks' CDS spreads over the event window	Own computation
	CDS CAAR	Cumulative average abnormal return of banks' CDS spreads over the event window	Own computation
	MSCI World Index	Log return of the MSCI World Index	Datastream
	Broad local market indices (the blue-chip ones)	Log return of each countriy's local market indices that include just the blue-chip companies	Datastream
	STOXX 600 Banks	Log return of the global STOXX 600 index	Datastream
	Datastream Europe Banks 5 years CDS index	Log return of the Datastream Europe Banks 5 years CDS index	Datastream
	iTraxx Europe 5 years CDS Total Stock Return Index	The level of the iTraxx 5 years CDS Total Stock Return Index for Europe	Deutsche Bank

Descriptive statistics

13

Panel A: Official event

	Obs.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max	Non- euro zone (mean)	Euro zone (mean)	Difference in means
Stock Return [0; 0] (%)	54	-1.78	1.93	-8.10	1.92	-0.99	-2.28	1.29 **
Stock CAR [0; 0] (%)	54	-1.20	1.73	-7.66	2.44	-0.69	-1.59	0.90 **
Stock Return [-5; 5] (%)	594	0.02	2.51	-11.33	11.78	0.03	0.02	0.01
Stock CAR [-5; 5] (%)	54	3.56	7.46	-7.31	37.24	2.04	4.53	-2.49
CDS Return [0; 0] (b. p.)	39	143.18	183.92	-103.56	667.64	-	-	-
CDS CAR [0; 0] (b. p.)	39	129.81	180.65	-108.63	661.57	-	-	-
CDS Return [-5; 5] (b. p.)	429	-26.63	220.56	-1466.83	1530.23	-	-	-
CDS CAR [-5; 5] (b. p.)	39	-411.98	529.02	-1928.31	669.16	-	-	-
1								

Empirical results and discussion (I) - Returns

Panel A: Stock CAARs EBA date (%)								
Stock CAAR interval	[0; 0]	[0; 1]	[-1; 1]	[-1; 5]	[-5; 5]			
Full sample	-1.20	0.44	1.27	0.92	3.56			
Euro zone	-1.59	0.03	1.30	1.00	4.53			
Non-euro zone	-0.59	1.09	1.23	0.79	2.04			

Significance tests - Full sample

t-test	-2.66	0.67	1.62	0.77	2.38
(p-value)	(0.01)	(0.49)	(0.11)	(0.44)	(0.02)
Generalised sign test	-4.08 (0.00)	1.90 (0.06)	2.71 (0.01)	1.09 (0.28)	2.72 (0.01)
(p-value) Corrado and	(0.00)	(0.000)	(0002)	(0.20)	(0.001)
Zivney rank	-1.60	-0.07	0.18	0.01	0.65
test	(0.10)	(0.94)	(0.86)	(0.99)	(0.51)
(p-value)					

Significance tests - Euro zone banks

Dignificance icois	Laro Lon	c ounns			
t-test	-2.26	0.03	1.06	0.53	1.94
(p-value)	(0.02)	(0.98)	(0.29)	(0.59)	(0.05)
Generalised sign test (p-value)	-3.56 (0.00)	0.62 (0.54)	2.71 (0.01)	0.97 (0.33)	2.71 (0.01)
Corrado and Zivney rank test (p-value)	-1.73 (0.09)	-0.38 (0.71)	0.30 (0.76)	0.17 (0.87)	0.73 (0.46)

Significance tests - Non-euro zone banks

Significance res		Joine omining			
t-test	-1.58	2.05	1.88	0.80	1.64
(p-value)	(0.12)	(0.04)	(0.06)	(0.43)	(0.10)
Generalised sign test (p-value)	-2.09 (0.04)	2.28 (0.02)	0.97 (0.33)	0.53 (0.60)	0.97 (0.33)
Corrado and Zivney rank test (p-value)	-1.15 (0.25)	0.44 (0.66)	0.16 (0.87)	-0.13 (0.90)	0.49 (0.62)

Empirical results and discussion (II) – CDS spreads

	Panel A:	CDS CA	ARs EBA	date (b. p.)
CDS CAAR interval	[0; 0]	[0; 1]	[-1; 1]	[-1; 5]	[-5; 5]
Full sample	129.81	102.44	65.67	172.81	-411.97

Significance tests

t-test	2.05	1.14	0.60	1.03	-1.95
(p-value)	(0.04)	(0.25)	(0.55)	(0.30)	(0.05)
Generalised sign test (p-value)	3.45 (0.00)	3.45 (0.00)	2.46 (0.01)	3.12 (0.00)	- 2.77 (0.01)
Corrado and Zivney rank test (p-value)	0.71 (0.48)	0.38 (0.71)	-0.15 (0.88)	-0.65 (0.52)	- 2.34 (0.02)

Empirical results and discussion (III)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Variables	Stock CAR [0; 0]	Stock CAR [0; 1]	Stock CAR [-1; 1]	Stock CAR [-1; 5]	Stock CAR [-5; 5
		Mod	lel 1		
	0.00001111	0.0007		0.00=444	0.000 (11)
Distance to default	0.0032***	-0.0007	-0.0068**	-0.0074**	-0.0236**
_	(0.0008)	(0.0015)	(0.0025)	(0.0031)	(0.0086)
Constant	-0.0178***	0.0058	0.0247***	0.0223**	0.0770***
	(0.0029)	(0.0044)	(0.0075)	(0.0100)	(0.0225)
Country clusters	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	53	53	53	53	53
R-squared	0.092	0.002	0.126	0.077	0.266
		Mod	lel 2		
Distance to default	0.0009	0.0008	-0.0019	-0.0061	-0.0149*
	(0.0022)	(0.0035)	(0.0042)	(0.0058)	(0.0082)
Size	-0.0047	-0.0016	-0.0029	-0.0064	0.0061
	(0.0029)	(0.0035)	(0.0038)	(0.0057)	(0.0067)
Turnover by volume	0.0029	0.0053**	0.0055**	0.0002	0.0022
-	(0.0027)	(0.0021)	(0.0025)	(0.0053)	(0.0064)
Tier 1 ratio	-0.0459	-0.1230	-0.0051	-0.1110	0.4460
	(0.0505)	(0.1620)	(0.1960)	(0.2840)	(0.4310)
Funding structure	-0.0222	-0.0271	0.0041	0.0321	0.0926
e	(0.0198)	(0.0230)	(0.0267)	(0.0524)	(0.0721)
Leverage	-0.0017	0.0018	0.00323	0.0005	0.0108
8	(0.0020)	(0.0031)	(0.0028)	(0.0045)	(0.0068)
Credit risk ratio	0.0377	0.2710*	0.5630*	0.6720	2.0900**
	(0.1600)	(0.1480)	(0.3220)	(0.4900)	(0.8590)
Dummy SSM	-0.0033	-0.0163	-0.0048	-0.0194	-0.0121
	(0.0144)	(0.0121)	(0.0167)	(0.0271)	(0.0304)
Dummy EBA	0.0046	-0.0104	-0.0094	-0.0160	-0.0103
Builling BBIT	(0.0221)	(0.0133)	(0.0158)	(0.0244)	(0.0322)
Dummy intervention	0.0019	-0.0082	-0.0068	0.0134	-0.0295
Building miler vention	(0.0045)	(0.0058)	(0.0068)	(0.0129)	(0.0179)
Dummy state	0.0006	0.0072	0.0109	0.0013	0.0393*
ownership	0.0000	0.0072	0.0109	0.0015	0.0090
e meremp	(0.0070)	(0.0069)	(0.0065)	(0.0157)	(0.0205)
Dummy crisis	-0.0105	0.0037	0.0137	0.0331	0.0321
···· , ·····	(0.0149)	(0.0101)	(0.0136)	(0.0225)	(0.0289)
Size to GDP ratio	3.1630	-9.1970	-3.6230	37.8900	35.2200
	(6.2070)	(8.2560)	(8.2950)	(22.7800)	(22.3300)
GDP growth	-0.0296	-0.0221	-0.0542	-0.0405	0.0986
8.0	(0.0398)	(0.0339)	(0.0412)	(0.0786)	(0.1040)
Constant	0.0465	-0.0230	-0.0538	0.0929	-0.3010*
	(0.0381)	(0.0815)	(0.0903)	(0.1140)	(0.1560)
Country clusters	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	50	50	50	50	50
R-squared	0.344	0.451	0.504	0.271	0.557
Mean of dependent	U.J.T.T	0.701	0.007	V.#/1	0.001
variable (%)	-1.20	0.44	1.27	0.92	3.56
	-1.20	0.77	1.2/	0.92	5.50

16

Empirical results and discussion (III) - Returns

17

National events	Low Subgroup Stock CAARs (%)	High Subgroup Stock CAARs (%)	Diff
Bank characteristics			
Non Interest Income to Total Revenues	-0,036	0,002	0,038*
Total Loans to Total Assets	0,003	-0,038	-0,041**
Distance to Default	-0,030	-0,005	0,025*
Banking market characteristics			
Banking competition	0,001	-0,034	-0,035*
Overall Restrictions on Banking Activities Index	-0,031	0,000	0,031
Capital Regulatory Index	-0,038	0,003	0,041**
Independence of Supervisory Authority Index (overall)	-0,037	0,001	0,038*
Bank Concentration for deposits	-0,001	-0,035	-0,034*
Bank Concentration for assets	0,002	-0,038	-0,040*
Foreign-owned banks	0,006	-0,036	-0,042**
Bailouts to Total assets banking system	-0,001	-0,035	-0,034*

Empirical results and discussion (III)

18

Dependent variable	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7
Stock CAARs (%)							
Distance to Default	-0.0062**	-0.0051*	-0.0049**	-0.0042*	-0.0064**	-0.0053**	-0.0061**
	(0.0022)	(0.0025)	(0.0023)	(0.0021)	(0.0026)	(0.0021)	(0.0023)
Non Interest Income to Total Revenues	0.1036**	0.0801**	0.0772*	0.0578	0.0491	0.0386	0.0701*
	(0.0387)	(0.0379)	(0.0386)	(0.0367)	(0.0333)	(0.0354)	(0.0376)
MTBV			-0.0064**	-0.0059**	-0.0072***	-0.0064***	-0.0086***
			(0.0026)	(0.0025)	(0.0024)	(0.0018)	(0.0022)
Restrictions on Banking Activities Index	-0.0099***	-0.0094***	-0.0096***	-0.0126***	-0.0090**	-0.0135***	-0.0161***
	(0.0029)	(0.0026)	(0.0028)	(0.0028)	(0.0034)	(0.0039)	(0.0023)
Independence of Supervisory Authority	-0.0093*	-0.0147**	-0.0150**	-0.0178***	-0.0241***	-0.0281***	-0.0269***
	(0.0052)	(0.0052)	(0.0053)	(0.0048)	(0.0059)	(0.0037)	(0.0030)
Lerner Index	0.1227***	0.1061**	0.1192**	0.0877**	0.1519***	0.1401***	0.1596***
	(0.0394)	(0.0389)	(0.0417)	(0.0358)	(0.0521)	(0.0381)	(0.0432)
Financial transparency		0.0148**	0.0145**	0.0185**	0.0269***	0.0360***	0.0273***
		(0.0056)	(0.0060)	(0.0068)	(0.0092)	(0.0046)	(0.0045)
Bank_concentration				0.0004**	0.0005**	0.0006**	0.0003
				(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)
Inflation					1.3113*	0.8073	0.4907
					(0.6918)	(0.6362)	(0.4178)
Bailouts to Total assets banking system					· · · ·	-0.1412**	-0.1441***
						(0.0555)	(0.0374)
Constant	0.0420*	-0.0203	-0.0143	-0.0302	-0.1105*	-0.1126***	-0.0283
	(0.0228)	(0.0283)	(0.0290)	(0.0290)	(0.0555)	(0.0285)	(0.0309)
		· · · ·	· · · ·	× ,			· · · ·
Observations	40	40	40	40	40	39	39
R-squared	0.388	0.437	0.454	0.494	0.560	0.609	0.668
F	3.387	4.491	3.530	5.740	6.614	65.12	45.37

Robust standard errors in parentheses

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Conclusion (I)

- For the official event, we document that the immediate reaction of the stock market is negative. However within a few days investors change their perception of stigma, resulting in an increase in shareholders' wealth. And this is the case for both euro zone and noneuro zone banks.
- **CDS** spreads react similarly, increasing first before decreasing.
- For the national event, CAARs are negative across all windows, but statistically insignificant, although with some exceptions where only one significance test out of three shows that the CAARs are statistically different from zero.

Conclusion (II)

- One can conclude that we have rather an opacity effect, that is, the events did not bring new information for the investors, and they waited for an official designation.
- □ Abnormal returns are not only driven by the event *per se*.
- The most prominent explanatory factors of the official event CARs are distance to default.

THANK YOU!

THE 12th YOUNG ECONOMISTS' SEMINAR THE 23rd DUBROVNIK ECONOMIC CONFERENCE

4 June 2017